

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/474,677	12/09/99	SHARMA		Υ	35284-03200
•			EXAMINER		EXAMINER
		HM12/0205	•		
W JACKSON MATNEY JR.				TUNG,	м
MILBANK TWEED HADLEY & MCCLOY LLP				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
INTERNATION 1825 EYE ST		BUILDING		1644	2
WASHINGTON	DC 20006			DATE MAILED:	
					02/05/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/474,677

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Sharma

Mary B. Tung

Art Unit 1644

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	ne merits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire1month(s), or thirty donger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response w application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the pre 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ill cause the
Disposition of Claim	
Of the above, claim(s) is/are without	
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved disapproved is approved	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 For Restriction Countined SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Serial No. 09/474,677 Art Unit 1644

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-308-4315. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot Program. If you have any questions or suggestions, please contact Paula Hutzell, Supervisory Patent Examiner at paula.hutzell@uspto.gov or 703-308-4310. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
 - I. Claims 1-27, are drawn to a method for preventing or treating a disease or infection, classified in class 514, subclass 23.
 - II. Claims 28-31 are drawn to a method for treating a blood product, classified in class 435, subclass 2.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Groups IV-VIII and are unique methods. They differ with respect to ingredients, process steps and endpoints to achieve different goals. Therefore, they are patentably distinct each from the other.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and classifications, and because a non-patent literature and/or sequence search of any or these three distinct inventions would not be co-extensive with a search of the others, an examination and search of two or more inventions in a single application would constitute a serious undue burden on the Examiner, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Should Applicants traverse on the ground that the members of the groups are not patentably distinct, Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the members to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other invention.
- 6. If Group I is elected, the Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Serial No. 09/474,677 Art Unit 1644

- 7. To elect a specific condition: a viral infection, as recited in claim 1, an immune-mediated disease, as recited in claim 21, or a pathogenic infection, as recited in claim 24. If Applicants elect a viral infection, then Applicants are further required to elect a specific viral infection: retrovirus, hepatitis virus, or herpes virus, as recited in claims 2-5. If Applicants elect a pathogenic infection, then Applicants are further required to elect a specific pathogenic infection: bacterial infection, parasite, influenza or malaria, as recited in claims 24-27.
- 8. Applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a specific species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The response must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 9. Should Applicants traverse on the ground that the members of the species are not patentable distinct, Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the members to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other invention.
- 10. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. 1.141. If claims are added after the election, Applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 11. The following claim(s) are generic: no claim is generic.
- 12. The species are distinct each from the other for the following reasons:
- 13. The various viral and pathogenic infections and cancer are different diseases, with different etiologies, clinical presentations and treatment modalities.
- 14. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. 1.143).

Conclusion

15. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1640 via the PTO Fax Center located

Serial No. 09/474,677 Art Unit 1644

in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). THE CM1 FAX CENTER TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Mary Tung whose telephone number is (703)308-9344. The Examiner can normally be reached Tuesday through Friday from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the Examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1640 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

February 2, 2001 Mary B. Tung, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Group 1640

MARY SETH TUNG, PH.D